

STEVEN B. WOLFSON
 District Attorney
CIVIL DIVISION
 State Bar No. 001565
 By: **JEFFREY S. ROGAN**
 Deputy District Attorney
 State Bar No. 010734
 500 South Grand Central Pkwy. 5th Flr.
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2215
 Telephone: (702) 455-4761
 Fax: (702) 382-5178
 E-Mail: Jeffrey.Rogan@ClarkCountyDA.com
 Attorneys for Defendants Clark County,
 the Clark County District Attorney's Office,
 and Steve Wolfson

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Marlon Lorenzo Brown,

 Plaintiff,

 vs.

 City Of Las Vegas, Nevada; Las Vegas
 Metropolitan Police Department
 (LVMPD); Clark County; Clark County
 District Attorney's Office; Steve
 WOLFSON; Danae Adams; Bruce Nelson;
 Joseph Lombardo; Dante Tromba; Does
 and Roes 1-20,

 Defendants.

Case No: 2:17-cv-02396-APG-BNW

**STIPULATION TO STAY
 DISCOVERY**

Defendants Clark County, the Clark County District Attorney's Office, and Clark
 County District Attorney Steve Wolfson (the "County Defendants"); the Las Vegas
 Metropolitan Police Department and Dante Trombe ("LVMPD Defendants"); and Marlon
 Lorenzo Brown ("Plaintiff"), hereby stipulate as follows:

1) Discovery shall be stayed pending ruling on the County Defendants' and LVMPD
 Defendants' Motions to Dismiss, [ECF Nos. 101 and 105], subject to potential lifting of the
 stay upon terms and conditions that the parties and the court deem just;

2) A stay is necessary because these pending Motions involve issues of immunity and
 adequacy of pleading allegations, and resolution of these Motions does not require further

discovery. *Pilger v. Mosley*, No. 2:18-cv-00854-JAD-PAL, 2018 WL 5268605, at *2 (D. Nev. Oct. 23, 2018) (“The Supreme Court has squarely held that until the threshold issue of immunity is resolved, discovery should not proceed.”). A stay of discovery at this time will align with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 1, which declares that the Rules “should be construed, administered, and employed by the court and the parties to secure the just, speedy, and inexpensive determination of every action and proceeding.”

3) The discovery stay shall include all discovery previously served but for which no response has been made;

4) The parties agree that any responses to any outstanding discovery will be made within fourteen (14) days of the Court’s ruling on any applicable Motion to Dismiss; if necessary.

5) The parties will submit a new proposed Discovery Plan to the Court within fourteen (14) days of the Court’s ruling on the last filed Motion to Dismiss and the stay will be lifted. The new discovery plan will include extended deadlines to designate experts, conduct discovery, and file dispositive motions.

DATED this 15th day of July, 2022.

KAEMPFER CROWELL

By: s/ Kristopher J. Kalkowski
 LYSSA S. ANDERSON
 Nevada Bar No. 5781
 KRISTOPHER J. KALKOWSKI
 Nevada Bar No. 14892
 1980 Festival Plaza Drive
 Suite 650
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89135
Attorneys for Defendants
Las Vegas Metropolitan Police
Department and Dante Tromba

CLARK COUNTY

By: /s Jeffrey S. Rogan
 JEFFREY S. ROGAN
 Deputy District Attorney
 Nevada Bar No. 010734
 500 South Grand Central Pkwy.
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2215
Attorney for Defendants Clark
County, the Clark County District
Attorney’s Office, and Steve
Wolfson

MCAVOY AMAYA & REVERO

By: s/ Michael J. McAvoy-Amaya
 MICHAEL J. McAVOY-AMAYA
 Nevada Bar No. 14082
 TIMOTHY E. REVERO
 Nevada Bar No. 14603
 400 S. 4th St., Ste. 500
 Las Vegas, NV 89101
Attorneys for Plaintiff

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED

DATED: 3:56 pm, July 18, 2022



BRENDA WEKSLER
 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE